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# Course Description

The study of international organization traditionally has focused on the problem of cooperation in anarchy. Given self-help, how can states create durable institutions in the first place? Increasingly, however, scholars are taking the existence of institutions as given and moving instead to assess their constitution and functioning along a variety of dimensions. This is the global governance problematique, and for it anarchy might be inapt as a starting point. The environment in which states interact seems increasingly one of authority – a public authority, which is expected to be (democratically) legitimate. States also often act as an international community, and to seek to provide global public goods.

In this course, we first examine how scholars have come to define global governance and consider three optics through which global governance can be viewed – power, law, and morality. Finally, we examine the practice of global governing across a sample of domains.

Students are expected to enter the course with substantial knowledge of international relations theory. This is a seminar not a lecture course.

**Objectives**

* Prepare Ph D students for comprehensive exams
* Help improve oral presentation, discussion, and discussion leading skills
* Help students generate ideas for further research

# Readings

All readings on the syllabus are required. Readings designated with “\*” will form the basis of our collective discussion. The other readings are required and likely will come up in discussion, but will not be the organizing pieces of the session. Students are expected to complete all of the required readings before the relevant class session. All readings are (or soon will be) available on Carmen.

# Requirements

*(1) Participation and Reading Questions*

This research seminar is oriented around students’ questions, concerns and contributions. It is absolutely essential not only to complete all the readings, but also to engage in individual reflection on strengths and weaknesses of various approaches, prior to seminar.

To that end, for each session, *all* students will prepare 2 questions based on the entire session’s readings (i.e., not two questions per reading, but two for each session) to help spur class discussion.

***Questions must be circulated by e-mail to the class by noon on Wednesdays.***

*(2) Two Presentations and Reaction Papers (short writing requirement)*

*All* students are required to formally present on assigned readings (readings will be assigned at our first session). These presentations will be based on a 2-3-page reaction paper.

***Reactions papers must be circulated by e-mail to the entire seminar by noon on Wednesdays.***

Reaction paper (2-3 pages, roughly 600-750 words): this is a 1-1½ -page summary of the text followed by 1-1½ -page of critical engagement with it. Critical engagement means offering a preliminary argument about some aspect of the reading that the student found intriguing, illuminating, problematic, or controversial.

Presentation (5 minutes): the student will launch the group discussion by offering a very brief summary then presenting their views and raising questions for collective discussion.

*NB*: Presenters need not write separate reading questions for the session in which they present.

*(3) Long Writing Requirement DUE DECEMBER 12, 5 p.m.*

What are two politically interesting, ‘live’ questions raised in the global governance literature? By politically interesting I mean something that matters – these should be questions that would be interesting to people who care about politics but do not necessarily care about academic debates in IR. By ‘live,’ I mean a question that’s not completely tapped out (in other words, ‘do norms matter?’ would not be a very good choice). These must be questions where you can demonstrate that there is more to say – questions that could form the basis of a dissertation.

For each question write a 7-8 page paper justifying it as a worthwhile research direction. This will require you to summarize the current state of knowledge relevant to your question, to characterize the gaps remaining in our knowledge, and to show how yours is a key question that remains unanswered. Then consider what needs to be done in order to advance our thinking. What might the answer(s) be? How might we go about answering the question? Where should the literature go from here? Note that you are not being asked to write a research design. Tractability is certainly a key criterion of a good research question. The two criteria you’re being asked to focus on – politically interesting and ‘live’ – are at least equally important.

1. You can either write one 15-page paper (roughly 4000-4500 words) on a single question, or two 7-8-page (roughly 2000-2500 words each) papers. Whichever you choose, the essays should be double-spaced, with page numbers, normal margins, and in 12-point font (I like Times font but can be flexible if you have a different preference).
2. This exam asks you to assimilate the readings from the course and think through the larger ideas discussed in our various sessions. Outside research is not necessary; if you would like to draw on outside research, we should discuss your plans.
3. For each essay, include a title page with the following information: the paper’s title, your name, your email address, and the course title/number. Title page is page zero; text begins on the next page.
4. References from the syllabus should be cited. Citations can be abbreviated (e.g. Lake, “Escape from the State of Nature,” p.#) and put in endnotes, which will not count against the page limit.
5. You can turn in the essay in one of two ways: hard copy under my door (Derby 2036); email to mitzen.1@osu.edu. If you choose the email option, please make sure that I’ve received it.

**CALENDAR**

**AUGUST 25 Organizational Meeting**

**SEPTEMBER 1 What is Global Governance?**

\*Offe, Claus.2009. “Governance: An Empty Signifier?” Constellations, 16, 4, ##

\*Dingwerth, Klaus and Philipp Pattberg. 2006. “Global Governance as a Perspective on World Politics,” *Global Governance*, 12, 185-203.

\*Mitzen, Jennifer. 2013. “Public Power and Purpose in Global Governance,” Chapter One of *Power in Concert* (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press), pp. 1-18

**THREE OPTICS: Power, Law, Morality**

**SEPTEMBER 8 Power**

\*Mitzen, Jennifer. 2013. “Governing in the Shadow of Violence,” Chapter Two of *Power in Concert* (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press), pp. 30-63.

\*Puchala, Donald J. 2005. “World Hegemony and the United Nations,” *International Studies Review*, 7, 571-584.

\*Brooks, Stephen and William Wohlforth. 2008. [chapters two and three] in *World Out of Balance* (NJ: Princeton University Press), pp. ##.

Guzzini, Stefano. 2005. “The Concept of Power: A Constructivist Analysis,” *Millennium* 33, 3, 495-521.

Barnett, Michael and Raymond Duvall. 2005. “Power in Global Governance,” in Barnett and Duvall, eds., *Power in Global Governance* (Cambridge UK: Cambridge University Press), pp. 1-32.

**SEPTEMBER 15 Law**

\*Hurd, Ian. 2015. “The International Rule of Law and the Domestic Analogy,” *Global Constitutionalism*, 4(3), 2015:365-396.

\*Koskenniemi, Martti. 2009. Miserable Comforters: International Relations as New Natural Law. *European Journal of International Relations* 15 (3), pp. 395-422.

\*Desaultels-Stein, Justin. 2016. “International Legal Structuralism: A Primer,” *International Theory*, 8, 2, 201-235.

Belanger, Louis and Kim Fontaine-Skronski. 2012. “Legalization in international relations: a conceptual analysis,” *Social Science Information*, 51, 2, pp. 238-262. This article presumes familiarity with the theoretical framework developed by Judith Goldstein, Miles Kahler, Robert O. Keohane and Ann-Marie Slaughter in “Legalization and World Politics,” a special issue of *International Organization* (2000).

Schmidt, Dennis R. 2016. “Peremptory Law, Global Order, and the normative boundaries of a pluralistic world,” *International Theory*, 8, 2, pp. 262-296.

**SEPTEMBER 22 Morality**

\*Miller, David. 2008. “National Responsibility and Global Justice,” *Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy,*11, 4, pp. 383-399.

\*Hayden, Patrick. 2007. “Superfluous Humanity: An Arendtian Perspective on the Political Evil of Global Poverty,” *Millennium*, 35, 2, 279-300.

\*Erskine, Toni. 2000. “Embedded Cosmopolitanism and the Case of War: Restraint, Discrimination and Overlapping Communities,” *Global Society*, 14, 4, 569-590.

Owen, David. 2010. “Global Justice, National Responsibility and Transnational Power,” *Review of International Studies*, 36 S1, 97-112.

Armstrong, David. 2011. “Evolving conceptions of justice in international law,” *Review of International Studies*, 37, pp. 2121-2136.

**SEPTEMBER 29 The Duty to Govern**

\*Green, Leslie. 2007. The Duty to Govern. *Legal Theory*. 13, pp. 165-185.

\*Yankah, Ekow. 2012. “When justice Can’t be Done: The Obligation to Govern and Rights in the State of Terror,” *Law and Philosophy*, 31, 643-672.

\*Erskine, Toni (2004) – “‟Blood on the UN’s Hands’? Assigning Duties and Apportioning Blame to an Intergovernmental Organisation,” *Global Society*, 18, 21-42.

**OCTOBER 6 Class meets at Mershon.**

\*Lindemann, Thomas and Alex Giacomelli. 2016. “Recognition Theory in Humanitarian Intervention,” MS presented at Mershon.

Additional Readings TBD

**OCTOBER 13 NO CLASS / BREAK**

**APPLICATIONS:**

**I’d like to give you some voice in determining the applications. I will circulate a list of 7-10 possibilities, including readings, by October 7 to solicit your votes. Final decisions will be made and readings assigned (for presentations/paper) by October 13th.**

**HERE ARE THE RESULTS OF THE VOTING:**

**PART II**

**PSC 7330 / Fall 2016**

**J Mitzen**

**OCTOBER 20 The Responsibility to Protect**

Pape, Robert. 2012. “When Duty Calls: A Pragmatic Standard for Humanitarian Intervention,” *International Security*, 37, 1, pp. 41-80.

\*and\*

Evans, Gareth and Ramesh Thakur. 2013. “Correspondence: Humanitarian Intervention and the Responsibility to Protect (R2P),” *International Security*, 37, 4, (8 pages).

Lang, Anthony. 2006. “Punitive Justifications or Just Punishment? An Ethical Reading of Coercive Diplomacy,” *Cambridge Review of International Affairs*, 19, 3, pp. 389-403.

Arbour, Louise. 2008. “The Responsibility to Protect as a Duty of Care in International Law and Practice,” *Review of International Studies*, 34, pp. 445-458.

Required, not discussed:

Glanville, Luke. 2011. “The Antecedents of ‘Sovereignty as Responsibility,” *European Journal of International Relations*, 17, 2, pp. 233- 255.

**OCTOBER 27 Security – public and private**

Krahman, Elke. 2008. “Security: Collective Good or Commodity?” *European Journal of International Relations,* 14, pp. 379-404.

Pattison, James. 2010. “Outsourcing the Responsibility to Protect: Humanitarian Intervention and Private Military and Security Companies,” *International Theory*, 2, 1, 1-31.

Abrahamsen, Rita and Michael C Williams. 2007. “Securing the City: Private Security Companies and Non-State Authority in Global Governance,” *International Relations*, 21, 2, 237-253.

Required not discussed:

Leander, Anna and Rens van Munster. 2007. Private Security Contractors in the Debate about Darfur: Reflecting and Reinforcing Neo-Liberal Governmentality,” *International Relations*, 21, 2, 201-216.

Owens, Patricia. 2008. “Distinctions, Distinctions: Public and Private Force?” *International Affairs*, 84, 5, pp. 977-990.

**NOVEMBER 3 NO CLASS: ISA NE**

**NOVEMBER 10 Making States**

Paris, Roland. 2010. “Saving Liberal Peacebuilding,” *Review of International Studies*, 36, ###.

\*and\*

Cooper, Neil, Mandy Turner and Michael Pugh. 2011. “The End of History and the last Liberal peacebuilding: A Reply to Roland Paris,” *Review of International Studies*, 37, 1995-2007.

Autesserre, Severine. 2009. Hobbes in the Congo: Frames, Local Violence and International Intervention,” *International Organization*, 63, 2, pp. 259-280.

Richmond, Oliver. 2010. Resistance and the Post-Liberal Peace,” *Millennium*, 38, 3, pp. 665-692.

Required, not discussed:

Barnett, Michael. 2006. “Building a Republican Peace: Stabilizing States After War,” *International Security*, 30, 4, pp. 87-112.

O’Reilly, Maria. 2012. “Muscular Interventionism: Gender, Power and Liberal Peacebuilding in Post-Conflict Bosnia-Herzegovina,” *International Feminist Journal of Politics*, 14, 4, 529-548.

**NOVEMBER 17 On the Importance of Counting and Measuring**

Merry, Sally Engle. 2011. “Measuring the World: Indicators, Human Rights, and Global Governance,” *Current Anthropology*, 52, 3, S83-+

Allen, Bentley. Forthcoming. “Producing the Climate: States, Scientists and the Constitution of Global Governance Objects,” *International Organization*, ##.

Peña, Alejandro M. 2015. Governing differentiation: On standardisation as political steering,” *European Journal of International Relations*, 21, 1, pp. 52 –75.

Required, not discussed:

Joseph, Jonathan. 2010. “The Limits of Governmentality: Social Theory and the International,” *European Journal of International Relations*, 16, 2, pp. 223-246.

Davis, Kevin E., Benedict Kingsbury and Sally Engle Merry. 2012. “Indicators as a Technology of Global Governance,” *Law and Society Review*, 46, 1, pp. 71-104.

**DECEMBER 1 Post Conflict Reconciliation and Justice**

Forsythe, David and Jorge Heine, eds. 2011. “Forum: Transitional Justice: The Quest for Theory to Inform Policy,” *International Studies Review* 13, 3, pp. 554-578.

Hirsch, Michael Ben-Joseph. 2014. “Ideational Change and the Emergence of the international norm of Truth and Reconciliation Commissions,” *European Journal of International Relations*, 20, 3, pp. 810-833.

Andrews, Molly. 2003. Grand National Narratives and the Project of Truth Commissions: A Comparative Analysis,” *Media Culture and Society* 25, 1, pp. 45-65.

Required, not discussed:

Snyder, Jack and Leslie Vinjamuri. 2003/04. “Trials and Errors: Principle and Pragmatism in Strategies of International Justice,” *International Security*, 28, pp. 5-44.

Flam, Helena. 2013. “The Transnational Movement for Truth, justice, and Reconciliation as an Emotional (Rule) Regime?” *Journal of Political Power*, 6, 3, pp. 363-383.